

#	Paper	Summary
1	Lohmann and Feichter 1997: Impact of sulfate aerosols on albedo and lifetime of clouds: sensitivity study with ECHAM4 GCM.	ECHAM4 GCM. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -1.00, -0.40, -1.40 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Parameterizing cloud cover in terms of LWC rather than RH increases the forcing, but lowering the sensitivity of autoconversion to CDNC reduces the lifetime effect.
2	Rotstayn 1999: Indirect forcing by anthropogenic aerosols: A GCM calculation of the effective-radius & cloud-lifetime effects.	CSIRO GCM. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -1.20, -1.00, -2.10 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Results are sensitive to autoconversion, sulfate-CDNC relation, and vertical distribution of sulfate. Lifetime effect is at least 25% of total indirect forcing.
3	Rotstayn and Penner 2001: Indirect aerosol forcing, quasi forcing, and climate response.	CSIRO GCM. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -1.35, -1.32, -2.57 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Calculated pure (everything fixed) and quasi (can vary) forcings for 1st indirect effect (less than 10% difference), determined that its OK to treat the 2 <sup>nd</sup> as a quasi forcing.
4	Jones et al. 2001: Indirect sulphate aerosol forcing in a climate model with an interactive sulphur cycle.	Hadley Centre GCM. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -1.30, -0.50, -1.90 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Found that alternative assumptions about natural sulfur (DMS) sources reduce the forcing by over 25%, and different parameterizations of autoconversion can double it.
5	Takemura et al. 2005: Simulation of climate response to aerosol direct and indirect effects with aerosol transport-radiation model.	CCSR/NIES/FRCGC GCM. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -0.52, -0.42, -0.94 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Included a parameterization of droplet nucleation, rather than empirical relation. Aerosol effects reduce the greenhouse gas warming by 40%.
6	Hansen et al. 2005: Efficacy of climate forcings.	GISS GCM. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -0.77, -1.01, -1.78 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Evaluated the impact of different forcing agents on temperature, aerosol effects cool -0.45°C. Individual species contribute: 36% SO <sub>4</sub> , 36% OC, 23% NO <sub>3</sub> , and 5% BC.
7	Ming et al. 2005: GFDL general circulation model investigation of the indirect radiative effects of anthropogenic sulfate aerosol.	GFDL GCM. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -1.40, -0.90, -2.30 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Separates forcing from flux change, which includes long wave effects. Evaluated statistical significance of zonal mean forcing, most significant in northern hemisphere.
8	Rotstayn and Liu 2009: Cloud droplet spectral dispersion and the indirect aerosol effect: comparison of two treatments in a GCM.	CSIRO GCM. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -0.38, -0.24, -0.62 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Represented droplet spectral dispersion as a change in the effective/volume radius ratio. Treating the ratio as a function of CDNC and LWC significantly reduced indirect effects.
9	Isaksen et al. 2009: Atmospheric composition change: climate–chemistry interactions.	Literature review (multi-model). 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -0.90, -0.35, -1.25 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Summary of climate–chemistry interactions and contributions to changes in atmospheric composition and forcing, identified major remaining areas of uncertainty.
10	Wang et al. 2010: Modeling study of aerosol indirect effects on global climate with an AGCM.	BCC GCM. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , and both indirect effect estimates: -1.14, -1.03, -1.93 W/m <sup>2</sup> . Forcing is largest in mid/high lats of northern hemisphere summer. Global temperature change of -0.12°C is mostly in the northern hemisphere, which leads to broadening of ITCZ precip.

## Aerosol indirect effects: comparing the magnitude of cloud-albedo and cloud-lifetime effects and cloud cover change.

