

| Authors | Specifications | Key Points | Results/findings |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Stevens <i>et al.</i> -2004 | Field studies (DYCOM-II, EPIC); NE and SE Pacific | $\Delta T_B - \tau - r_e$ - precip. precip.-cloudiness POC-precip. | Coherent, long-lived regions of open cellular convection are coupled to the development of precipitation. The results support the idea that modulating precipitation formation, perturbation in atmospheric aerosol can affect patterns of cloudiness. |
| Krüger & Fritz -1961 | TIROS-I, radiosonde, aircraft dropsonde; NE Bermuda, Aleutians, NE HI; Apr-60 | POC vs Bernard cells Anticyclone Low clouds and inversion | Cellular patterns occur at the top of convective layer heated from below; through which there was little vertical variation in wind velocity. Stabler layer was found above the region. |
| Bretherton <i>et al.</i> -2001 | 5-cm, 8-mm radars, μ -wave radiometer, buoy and GOES-East; Sept-Oct 2001; E. Pacific (EPIC) | SST-aerosol-drizzle stratocumulus-MCC inversion & subsidence 2 nd IE | Entrainment of dry, warm air was the primary regulator of cloud thickness, but drizzle might inhibit turbulence and promote MCC. Less drizzle fell from cloud with a given thickness during periods of high N_c . |
| Garay <i>et al.</i> -2004 | MISR, GOES West; E. Pacific; 11/16/2001 | MCC: OC, CC, AC Misconception of AC AC & τ , AC & lifetime | Contrary to the earlier theories, AC is not a transition between open and closed cells. AC has a relatively longer lifetime and a more complex relationship to the ocean currents than OC and CC do. |
| Feingold <i>et al.</i> -2010 | Satellite measurement, LES, ship-based lidar and radar; unspecified region and time | Heat-cell structure cooling-assymetry profile [aerosol]-cell structure precip-oscillation | Precipitation generation-dissipation causes rearrangements between different open cells; open cell system does not disappear/convert to closed-cell. High [aerosol] = closed-cell system, low [aerosol] = open-cell system. |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Lu <i>et al.</i> (2009) | MASE-II, with aircraft-ship; Off-coast Monterey Bay, CA; Jul-07 | Aerosol-cloud-drizzle $r_m \propto 1/N_c$ d- N_c & adiabaticity $R_{cb} \propto H^3/N_c$ | Increased CDNC and decreased cloud top re are associated with increased sub-cloud [aerosol]. No clear d- N_c relationship is likely a consequence of averaging many different conditions, which obscures subtle effects that combine to govern d. |
| Liu & Daum -2002 | FIRE (NE Pacific), SOCEX & ACE1 (SO), ASTEX (NE Atlantic), SCMS (FL), INDOEX, MAST | Aerosol-size dist. $r_e[\beta(\epsilon)]$ $N \propto \epsilon$ - pollution Overestimated cooling | Increase in relative distribution (ϵ) negates the effect of increased N on r_e and reflectivity. 15% increase in N at $N = 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ results in -0.19 to -0.93 Wm^{-2} decrease, or 10-80% < -1.03 Wm^{-2} calculated for Twomey effect alone. |
| Van Zanten <i>et al.</i> -2005 | Radar and RFs (DYCOMS-II), GOES-10 | R- r_e relationship $R_{cb} \propto H^3/N$ Z-R relationship r_e - T_B relationship | Flight-to-flight drizzle variability scales well with difference between 11 and 4 μm T_B . The precipitating vs non-precipitating drizzle spectra are distinguishable from drizzle N, rather than change in shape of drizzle drop size distribution. |
| Sorooshian <i>et al.</i> -2009 | A-Train, RICO; Cloud parcel model, LES (RAMS), CloudSat CPR and MODIS; JJA 2007 | $S_0 = d\ln R/d\ln N_d$ $S_0 = f(\text{LWP})$ 3 regimes of LWP ΔR vs AI | Shallow clouds with lowest LWP are least susceptible since they precipitate very little, while deep clouds are progressively less susceptible due to high LWP, regardless of N_d . |
| Duong <i>et al.</i> -2011 | LES (RAMS) based on RICO, Aircraft measurement (MASE-II), Satellite observation (A-Train). | $R \sim \text{LWP}^{x1} N_d^{x2}$ x2 - aerosol IE $\Delta \text{ACI} - H'$ $\text{ACI} - r_{e,\text{col}} - r_{e,\text{top}}$ $\text{ACI} - \chi - S_0$ | While wide ranges in R exist at fixed CDNC for different CLW amounts, χ and S_0 are shown to be relatively insensitive to the growth phase of the cloud for large datasets that include data representing the full spectrum of cloud lifetime. Spatial resolution of measurements is shown to influence the LWP-dependent behavior of S_0 and χ . |